

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,221	12/01/2003	Donald E. Frail	PC 27831 (01457.USI)	2133
28880 73	590 12/11/2006		EXAM	INER
WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY 2800 PLYMOUTH RD			GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V	
ANN ARBOR,	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1614	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· <u></u>		Application No.	Applican	t(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/725,221	FRAIL ET	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shirley V. Gembe					
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication apply	opears on the cover	sheet with the correspond	lence address			
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status terms adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be consi IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	te of this communication. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 s</u>	September 2006.					
2a)⊠ This	action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-fina					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition o	f Claims						
4)⊠ Claii 4a) C 5)□ Claii 6)⊠ Claii 7)□ Claii	m(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdram(s) is/are allowed. m(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from considera	·				
Application P	apers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Certified copies of the priority documer Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been receints have been receints have been receinority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this N a)).	·			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of R	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Information	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) )/Mail Date	3) 5) 🔲 1	aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent Applications:	ation (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/725,221

Art Unit: 1614

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Page 2

**Status of Claims** 

Claims 1-37 are pending.

Claims 1, 26-27, 29, 30, 36 and 37 have been amended.

The response filed **September 28, 2006** presents remarks and arguments to the office action mailed **June 29, 2006**. Applicants' request for reconsideration of the rejection of claims in the last office action has been considered.

Applicants' arguments, filed, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Maintained Double Patenting

Applicant argues that the patented claims is not commonly owned with the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,221

Art Unit: 1614

In response, a double patent rejection is based on

Double patenting may exist between an issued patent and an application filed by the same inventive entity, or by a different inventive entity having a common inventor, and/or by a common assignee/owner. Double patenting may also exist where the inventions claimed in a patent and an application were made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement as defined in 35 U.S.C. 103(c)(2) and (3). Since the inventor/patent owner has already secured the issuance of a first patent, the examiner must determine whether the grant of a second patent would give rise to an unjustified extension of the rights granted in the first patent.

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See reasons given above. The rejection is maintained as in the prior office action of record. The common inventor here is James P. Beck.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-30 of US Patent No.7,084,152. The formula/compound of the claimed invention is identical to the co-pending application, as shown here as IA, IB, IIA IIB, IIIA and IIIB.

Art Unit: 1614

## Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Applicant argues that the incorporation of WO 2002/04455 shows how to make the claimed compounds and that the compounds are enabled.

In response, the incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See above reasons. The rejection is maintained as in the office action of record and repeated below.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-37 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treatment of pain, migraine, and urinary

incontinence, does not reasonably provide enablement for making the compounds recited in the claims. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley V. Gembeh whose telephone number is 571-272-8504. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -5:00, Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,221

Art Unit: 1614

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SVG 11/28/06

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER